

Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 547 (1997) 209-216



Preparation and reactions of Cp₂HfRCl, Cp₂HfRR' and hafnacyclopent-2-enes

Yasushi Nishihara, Toyohisa Ishida, Shouquan Huo, Tamotsu Takahashi *

Catalysis Research Center and Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Hokkaido University, Kita-ku, Sapporo 060, Japan

Received 8 January 1997; received in revised form 3 June 1997

Abstract

Reaction of Cp_2HfCl_2 with R_3Al (R = Et, *n*-Pr, or *n*-Bu) in hexane selectively produced monoalkylhafnocene complexes, Cp_2HfRCl (1), in high yields, Cp_2HfRcl (1a) was prepared by the reaction of oxo-bridged hafnocene complex ($Cp_2HfCl_2(\mu-O)$ with Me_3Al . The treatment of 1 with alkylating reagents such as R'Li or EtMgBr afforded unsymmetrical dialkylhafnocene complexes Cp_2HfRR' (3) in good to excellent yields. The kinetic study of thermolysis of Cp_2HfEtR (R = Me, Et, *n*-Bu, *sec*-Bu, *t*-Bu or Ph) suggested that decomposition of $Cp_2HfEt(sec-Bu)$ was much faster than the others. $Cp_2Hf(sec-Bu)_2$ (2e) can be used as a good precursor of $Cp_2Hf(II)$ species. Hafnacyclopent-2-enes (4) were prepared in good yields by the reaction of Cp_2HfEt_2 (2b) with alkynes. © 1997 Elsevier Science S.A.

Keywords: Alkylhafnocene chloride; Dialkylhafnocene; Hafnacyclopent-2-ene; Hafnacyclopentadiene

1. Introduction

In comparison with the chemistry of zirconocene and titanocene derivatives which has been extensively studied over the past two decades, the corresponding hafnocene chemistry has remained undeveloped [1,2]. One of the reason is that the chemistry of hafnium seems to be very similar to zirconium chemistry. And that organohafnium compounds are less reactive compared with organozirconium. In addition, it may be more important that hafnium compounds are not inexpensive.

Dialkylzirconocene compounds play an important role in the zirconium(II) chemistry [3] but they are not stable at room temperature when alkyl groups have a β -hydrogen. In order to investigate the reaction mechanism of such zirconium chemistry, there are some difficulties due to the instability of dialkylzirconocenes.

On the other hand, the corresponding hafnocene derivatives are stable at room temperature. Unfortunately, however, practical and convenient preparative methods of dialkylhafnocene with, especially, two different alkyl groups have not been developed yet.

In this paper, we would like to report a systematic study on preparation of monoalkylhafnocene chlorides, unsymmetrical dialkylhafnocenes and hafnacyclopent-2-enes [4].

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Practical method for preparation of Cp_2 HfRCl (1) (R = Me (1a), Et (1b), n-Pr (1c), n-Bu (1d)) and Cp_2 HfRR' (3) (R = Me, Et, n-Bu, R' = Me, Et, Pr, n-Bu, sec-Bu, t-Bu and Ph)

Although hydrohafnation reaction of alkenes and the subsequent functionalization of organic moiety have been reported, monoalkylhafnocene compounds have not been isolated and characterized as pure compounds [5]. It is

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail: tamotsu@cat.hokudai.ac.jp.

⁰⁰²²⁻³²⁸X/97/\$17.00 © 1997 Elsevier Science S.A. All rights reserved. PII S0022-328X(97)00375-6

known that hydrohafnation reaction sometimes gives a mixture of several hafnocene complexes. In fact, as Erker et al. [6] reported, hydrohafnation reaction of ethylene by $(MeCp)_2$ HfHCl afforded a mixture of monoethylhafnium and diethylhafnium compounds.

Direct alkylation of Cp_2HfCl_2 by RLi or RMgX is the most attractive method to prepare monoalkylated hafnocenes, Cp_2HfRCl . However, such a method did not give the desired monoalkylated compounds selectively. Only in the case of a bulky *t*-butyllithium, the formation of monoalkylated hafnocene complex, $Cp_2Hf(t-Bu)Cl$, was reported [7,8].

Interestingly, treatment of hafnocene dichloride with 1 equiv. of Et_3Al in hexane afforded monoethylated product, $Cp_2HfEtCl$ (1b), cleanly in 89% yield. No formation of diethylhafnocene was detected. This is in sharp contrast to the zirconium case in which an ethylene bridged dizirconium complex was produced upon treatment of Cp_2ZrCl_2 with Et_3Al [9]. Other monoalkylhafnocene chlorides with *n*-Pr or *n*-Bu group (1c-d) were similarly obtained in good yields as pure compounds. It is noteworthy that Cp_2HfRCl (1) were inert towards another equiv. of R_3Al . This is the most important factor which accounts for the selective formation of monoalkylation products.

Exceptionally, $Cp_2HfMeCl$ (1a) was not cleanly formed by this method using Me_3Al . It could be prepared as a pure compound in 76% isolated yield by the reaction of oxo-bridged complex (5) with Me_3Al as reported in the case of $Cp_2ZrMeCl$ [10]. Surprisingly, however, the treatment of the oxo-bridged complex (5) with other trialkylaluminum compounds, such as Et_3Al , $(n-Pr)_3Al$ and $(n-Bu)_3Al$ did not give 1b-1d cleanly.

Cp ₂ HfRCI +	R'M	← Cp ₂ HfRR'	
1		3	
R = Me, Et, Pr, or <i>n</i> -Bu	M=Li or MgBr	(prepared <i>in situ</i>)	
		3a : R = Me, R' = Et: 90% 3b : R = Me, R' = <i>n</i> -Bu: 94% 3c : R = Me, R' = <i>sec</i> -Bu: 78% 3d : R = Et, R' = <i>sec</i> -Bu: 93% 3e : R = Et, R' = <i>t</i> -Bu: 94% 3f : R = Et, R' = <i>n</i> -Bu: 99% 3g : R = Et, R' = <i>n</i> -Bu: 99% 3h : B = <i>n</i> -Bu: B' = <i>sec</i> -Bu: 90%	(2)

Symmetrical dialkylhafnocene complexes Cp_2HfR_2 (R = Et (2b), *n*-Bu (2d), *sec*-Bu (2e)), were easily prepared in excellent yields by simple addition of 2 equiv. of RLi reagents to the solution of Cp_2HfCl_2 in ether as already known [1,2].

Selective preparation of unsymmetrical dialkylhafnocene complexes, Cp_2HfRR' (3), is more attractive. From the pure monoalkylhafnocene chlorides 1 obtained above, unsymmetrical dialkylhafnocene compounds were cleanly formed. The reaction of Cp_2HfRCl (1) with 1 equiv. of R'M (R' = alkyl or aryl groups; M = Li or MgX) proceeded to yield Cp_2HfRR' selectively in excellent yields. These dialkylhafnocene compounds prepared in situ can be used for further reactions without isolation. Dialkylhafnocene compounds were characterized by NMR without isolation. The ¹³C NMR spectrum of $Cp_2HfEt(n-Bu)$ 3g

showed that the complex prepared by this method was very pure as shown in Fig. 1, and it was stable in THF at room temperature. Disproportionation of 3g to produce $Cp_2Hf(n-Bu)_2$ and Cp_2HfEt_2 was not observed (Eq. (4)). In some



cases, the signals assigned to Cp carbons or alkyl carbons for Cp_2HfRR' were similar to those for Cp_2HfR_2 or $Cp_2HfR'_2$ in their ¹³C NMR spectra. However, their ¹H NMR spectra were quite different.

2.2. Thermal stability of Cp_2 HfEtR (R = Me (3a), Et (2b), n-Bu (3g), sec-Bu (3d), t-Bu (3e) and Ph (3f))

In order to probe the synthetic potential of these dialkylhafnocene complexes, information about thermal stability of these complexes is necessary. It is well accepted that dialkylzirconocenes easily decompose via β -hydrogen abstraction to produce alkene complexes which are useful reagents for organic synthesis.

Thermal decomposition reaction rate was measured for Cp₂HfEtR (**2b**, **3a**, **3d**–**g**) at 60°C using ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The decomposition obviously obeyed first order rule and the rate constants were determined by decrease of the Cp signals of Cp₂HfEtR. The results are shown in Table 1. The thermal stability increased in the order of R groups *sec*-Bu < *t*-Bu, Et < *n*-Bu \ll Me, Ph for Cp₂HfEtR. This order is the same as obtained for β -hydrogen abstraction of Cp₂ZrMeR [11]. The complexes Cp₂HfEtMe and Cp₂HfEtPh were very stable. It is noteworthy that Cp₂HfEt(*sec*-Bu) decomposed much faster than the others.

2.3. Formation of hafnacyclopent-2-enes (4a-g) and hafnacyclopentadiene (6)

The first full characterization of hafnacyclopent-2-ene $Cp_2Hf(CH_2CH_2CPh=CPh)$ was reported by Erker et al. [12] and it was prepared by the reaction of hafnacyclopentane with diphenylacetylene (yield 38%). Recently, we have

Table 1		
The first order rate constants	for thermolysis of	Cp ₂ HfEtR ^a

R group	Rate constant $(10^{-2} \times k) \min^{-1}$	
sec-Bu	5.2	
t-Bu	1.6	
Et	1.6	
<i>n</i> -Bu	0.5	
Me	^b 0.09	
Ph	c	

^aReaction conditions: temperature 60°C.

^b88% of unreacted Cp₂Hf(Et)Me was remained even after 12 h.

^cNot determined because it was very slow.

developed a preparative method of zirconacyclopentenes from Cp_2ZrEt_2 and alkynes [13]. We used our method for preparation of hafnacyclopent-2-enes, since **2b** decomposes at 60°C with a rate of 1.6×10^{-2} min⁻¹. The reaction of **2b** prepared in situ with diphenylacetylene proceeded smoothly at 50°C to yield hafnacyclopent-2-ene **4d** in 77% yield. The ¹³C NMR spectrum of **4d** showed characteristic signals at 31.66, 44.81, 111.44, 150.62 and 191.82 ppm in addition to signals of phenyl carbons. Its ¹H NMR spectrum indicated two triplets at 1.22 and 3.03 ppm assignable to two CH₂ groups and a singlet at 5.86 ppm assigned to Cp protons. These NMR data were the same as reported for $Cp_2Hf(CH_2CH_2CPh=CPh)$ whose structure was determined by X-ray analysis [13]. Hydrolysis of **4d** gave (Z)-1,2-diphenyl-1-butene in 77% yield. Other hafnacyclopent-2-enes were obtained in a similar way in good to high yields as shown in Table 2.



For preparation of hafnacyclopentadienes [7,12], $Cp_2 Hf(n-Bu)_2$ (2d) has been used [14]. However, high temperature (above 80°C) and a long reaction time was required [14]. Our kinetic data (Table 1) showed that the decomposition reaction from *sec*-Bu group was much faster than *n*-Bu group. Kinetic study showed that the first order rate constant for thermolysis of $Cp_2 Hf(sec-Bu)_2$ (2e) was about 90 times as large as that of 2d. It is thus expected that conversion of 2e to hafnacyclopentadienes would be much easier than that of 2d. Actually, the reaction of 2e with 2 equiv. of 5-decyne in THF at 50°C after 1, 3, and 12 h gave hafnacyclopentadiene 6 in 58%, 73%, and 85% yields, respectively. However, 2d with 2 equiv. of 5-decyne under the same conditions gave 6 only in 1%, 3%,

Table 2 Formation of hafnacyclopent-2-ene compounds from $\mbox{Cp}_2\mbox{HfCl}_2,\mbox{EtMgBr}$ and alkynes^a

Run	Alkyne	Time/h	Hafnacyclopent-2-ene	Yield/% ^b	Hydrolysis	Yiekl/% ^c
1	E t = Et	3	Et Cp 2H1 4a	87	Et	86
2	Pr- <u>—</u> Pr	3	Cp ₂ H 4b	80	Pr Pr	82
3	8u 8u	3	Bu Cp ₂ H 4c	92	Bu	95
4	Ph-===-Ph	6	Ph Cp2H 4d	77	Ph	77
5	Ph-=-Me	6	Cp ₂ H 4e	68	Ph Me	73
6	Ph-==-Bu	6	CP2H 41	71	Ph Bu	70
7	Me 3Si - 2 Bu	6	Me 3Si Cp 2H 4g	68	Me ₃ Si Bu	65

^aAll reactions were carried out at 50°C.

^c Yields were determined by GC.

^b Yields were determined by NMR.

and 8% yields, respectively. Obviously, $Cp_2Hf(sec-Bu)_2$ can be used as a good source of $Cp_2Hf(II)$ species.

$$Cp_{2}Hf(sec-Bu)_{2} \xrightarrow{2 eq} Bu \xrightarrow{Bu} Cp_{2}Hf \xrightarrow{Bu} Bu \xrightarrow{Bu} Cp_{2}Hf \xrightarrow{Bu} Bu \xrightarrow{Bu} G: 85\% \text{ yield}$$
(6)

$$Cp_{2}Hf(n-Bu)_{2} \xrightarrow{2 eq} Bu \xrightarrow{50^{\circ}C, 12h} G: 8\% \text{ yield}$$

3. Experimental

3.1. General procedures

All reactions were carried out under nitrogen atmosphere using standard Schlenk tube techniques. THF (tetrahydrofuran), diethyl ether and hexane were distilled over sodium and benzophenone. Hafnocene dichloride, diphenylacetylene, 1-trimethylsilyl-1-hexyne were purchased from Aldrich Chemical. Ethylmagnesium bromide (THF solution), methyllithium (ether solution), *n*-butyllithium (hexane solution), *sec*-butyllithium (cyclohexane solution), *t*-butyllithium (hexane solution), phenyllithium (cyclohexane–ether solution) were purchased from Kanto Chemicals. 3-Hexyne, 4-octyne, 5-decyne, 1-phenyl-1-propyne, 1-phenyl-1-hexyne and 3,5-octadiyne were purchased from Tokyo Chemical. $(Cp_2HfCl)_2(\mu-O)$ was prepared as reported in the literature [10].

NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL EX-270 FT NMR spectrometer. Tetramethylsilane (TMS) was used as the reference for ¹H and ¹³C NMR. The NMR yields were determined using mesitylene as an internal standards. GC analyses were performed on a Shimadzu GC-14A equipped with a flame ionization detector using Shimadzu Capillary Column (CBPI-M25-025). The GC yields were determined using suitable hydrocarbon internal standards. Mass spectra were obtained on JEOL JMS-AX505HA mass spectrometer.

3.2. Preparation of monoalkylhafnocene complexes

3.2.1. Preparation of Cp₂ HfMeCl (1a)

To a solution of Cp₂HfCl(O)ClHfCp₂ (3 g, 4.2 mmol) in 20 ml of CH₂Cl₂, were added excess of Me₃Al (25 ml, 1.02 M, 25.5 mmol) at room temperature. This mixture was stirred for 1 h. To this mixture was added 5 ml of ether and solvents were evaporated under vacuum to give a white solid. The white residue was dissolved in 25 ml of hexane and filtrated. Crystallization in hexane at -40° C gave the title complex, 1,1-Bis(η^{5} -cyclopentadienyl)chloromethylhafnium (1a) in 76% isolated yield. M.p.: 120–122°C. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, Me₄Si): δ 0.26 (s, 3H), 5.68 (s, 10H); ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, Me₄Si): δ 33.84, 111.70. Anal. Calc. for C₁₁H₁₃ClHf: C: 36.78; H: 3.66; Cl: 9.87. Found: C: 36.70; H: 3.69; Cl: 9.79.

3.2.2. Cp, HfEtCl (1b)

To a solution of Cp₂HfCl₂ (5.7 g, 15 mmol) in 15 ml of hexane, was added 1 equiv. of Et₃Al (16.1 ml, 0.92 M, 15 mmol) at room temperature. This mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. Solvents were evaporated under vacuum to give a white solid. The white residue was dissolved in 20 ml of hexane and filtrated. Crystallization in hexane at 8–10°C gave the title complex, 1,1-Bis(η^5 -cyclopentadienyl)chloroethylhafnium (1b) in 73% isolated yield. NMR yield 89%. M.p.: 70–72°C. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, Me₄Si): δ 0.86 (q, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 1.64 (t, J = 8 Hz, 3H), 5.74 (s, 10H); ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, Me₄Si): δ 17.90, 46.68, 111.66. Anal. Calc. for C₁₂H₁₅ClHf: C: 38.62; H: 4.06; Cl: 9.50. Found: C: 38.28; H: 3.90; Cl: 9.68.

3.2.3. $Cp_2 Hf(n-Pr)Cl(1c)$

Instead of Et₃Al, $(n-Pr)_3$ Al was used. NMR yield 76%. Isolated yield 65%. M.p.: 52–53°C. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, Me₄Si): δ 0.79–0.82 (m, 2H), 1.11 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 1.59–1.73 (m, 2H), 5.73 (s, 10H); ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, Me₄Si): δ 22.66, 27.51, 58.71, 111.61. Anal. Calc. for C₁₃H₁₇ClHf: C: 40.32; H: 4.43; Cl: 9.15. Found: C: 40.25; H: 4.38; Cl: 9.00.

3.2.4. $Cp_2 Hf(n-Bu)Cl(1d)$

Instead of Et₃Al, $(n-Bu)_3$ Al was used. NMR yield 89%. Isolated yield 55%. M.p.: 55–57°C. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, Me₄Si): δ 0.81–0.87 (m, 2H), 1.04 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 1.41 (tq, J = 7 Hz, 7 Hz, 2H), 1.57–1.69 (m, 2H), 5.73 (s, 10H); ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, Me₄Si): δ 14.20, 30.76, 36.42, 55.35, 111.64. Anal. Calc. for C₁₄H₁₉ClHf: C: 41.90; H: 4.78; Cl: 8.83. Found: C: 41.73; H: 4.67; Cl: 8.98.

3.3. Preparation of unsymmetrical dialkylhafnocene complexes

3.3.1. Preparation of Cp₂ HfMeEt (3a)

To a solution of 359 mg (1.0 mmol) of Cp₂HfMeCl in 5 ml of ether, were added 1 equiv. of EtMgBr (1.2 mmol, 0.99 M, 1.21 ml) at -78° C. This mixture was warmed up to room temperature, stirred for 1 h and filtrated through a frit. The title complex **3a** was formed in 90% yield. The solution was concentrated to a volume of 2 ml under vacuum. To this was added 5 ml of hexane and the mixture was cooled to -40° C. After 12 h at -40° C, the title complex **3a** was obtained in 74% yield as white powder. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, Me₄Si): $\delta -0.32$ (s, 3H), 0.13 (q, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 1.46 (t, J = 8 Hz, 3H), 5.65 (s, 10H); ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, Me₄Si): $\delta 16.15$, 36.89, 49.18, 109.86.

3.3.2. $Cp_2 HfMe(n-Bu)$ (3b)

Yield 94% (by NMR). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , Me_4Si): $\delta -0.34$ (s, 3H), 0.12–0.17 (m, 2H), 1.01 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 1.29–1.37 (m, 2H), 1.40–1.50 (m, 2H), 5.66 (s, 10H); ¹³C NMR (C_6D_6 , Me_4Si): δ 14.20, 30.91, 34.86, 36.93, 58.11, 109.79.

3.3.3. Cp₂ HfMe(sec-Bu) (**3c**)

Yield 78% (by NMR). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , Me_4Si): $\delta -0.31$ (s, 3H), 0.61–0.66 (m, 1H), 0.98 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 1.18 (d, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 1.21–1.34 (m, 2H), 5.65 (s, 5H), 5.66 (s, 5H); ¹³C NMR (C_6D_6 , Me_4Si): δ 16.46, 19.69, 30.78, 37.36, 62.57, 109.88, 109.92.

3.3.4. Cp₂ HfEt(sec-Bu) (3d)

Yield 93% (by NMR). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , Me_4Si): δ 0.16 (q, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 0.52–0.57 (m, 1H), 0.98 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.18 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.13–1.25 (m, 2H), 1.43 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 3H), 5.66 (s, 5H), 5.67 (s, 5H); ¹³C NMR (C_6D_6 , Me_4Si): δ 15.95, 16.56, 19.52, 30.63, 49.96, 62.89, 109.93, 109.96.

3.3.5. Cp₂HfEt(t-Bu) (3e)

Yield 94% (by NMR). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , Me_4Si): δ 0.55 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.17 (s, 9H), 1.48 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 3H), 5.69 (s, 10H); ¹³C NMR (C_6D_6 , Me_4Si): δ 16.46, 35.12, 53.88, 57.62, 110.60.

3.3.6. Cp₂ HfEtPh (3f)

Yield 92% (by NMR). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , Me_4Si): δ 0.61 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 1.50 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 3H), 5.70 (s, 10H), 7.03–7.27 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (C_6D_6 , Me_4Si): δ 15.99, 53.09, 110.98, 124.94, 127.50, 136.56, 193.24.

3.3.7. $Cp_2 Hf(n-Bu)Et(3g)$

Yield 99% (by NMR). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , Me_4Si): δ 0.10–0.18 (m, 4H), 1.03 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 1.46 (t, J = 8 Hz, 3H), 1.34–1.49 (m, 4H), 5.66 (s, 10H); ¹³C NMR (C_6D_6 , Me_4Si): δ 14.20, 16.06, 31.07, 34.82, 49.76, 58.69, 109.88.

3.3.8. $Cp_{2}Hf(n-Bu)(sec-Bu)$ (3h)

Yield 90% (by NMR). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , Me_4Si): δ 0.12–0.17 (m, 2H), 0.48–0.62 (m, 1H), 0.97 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 1.00 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 1.17 (d, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 1.23–1.43 (m, 6H), 5.66 (s, 5H), 5.67 (s, 5H); ¹³C NMR (C_6D_6 , Me_4Si): δ 14.18, 16.56, 19.66, 30.64, 31.04, 34.74, 58.82, 62.92, 109.87, 109.92.

3.4. Kinetic studies for the thermal decomposition of Cp_2 HfEtR (R = Me(3a), Et (2b), n-Bu(3g), sec-Bu(3d), t-Bu(3e) and Ph (3f))

3.4.1. Representative procedure

To a solution of $Cp_2HfEtCl$ (186 mg, 0.5 mmol) in 2.5 ml of THF, was added 1 equiv. of *sec*-BuLi (0.48 ml, 1.04 M, 0.5 ml) at $-78^{\circ}C$. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 1 h at room temperature. A reaction mixture (0.25 ml) was transferred into a NMR tube with 0.25 ml of C_6D_6 . Thermal decomposition reaction

was carried out at 60°C. The reaction was monitored by ¹H NMR. The Cp signal assigned to Cp₂HfEt(*sec*-Bu) gradually decreased. After 3 h, it completely disappeared. The rate constant was determined using the decrease of intensity of the Cp signal; $k = 5.16 \times 10^{-2}$ (min⁻¹).

3.5. Preparation of hafnacyclopent-2-enes

$3.5.1. Cp_2 H\overline{f(CH_2CH_2CEt=CEt)}$ (4a)

To a solution of 1.42 g (3.75 mmol) of Cp₂HfCl₂ in 15 ml of THF, were added 2 equiv. of EtMgBr (7.5 mmol, 0.99 M, 7.58 ml) at -78° C. This mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 1 h. To this mixture was added 1 equiv. of 3-hexyne (342 μ l, 3.0 mmol) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at 50°C for 3 h. The complex **4a** was formed in 87% yield. Hydrolysis of the mixture gave 3-ethyl-3-hexene in 86% yield [13]. The solution containing **4a** obtained above was concentrated to a volume of 3 ml under vacuum. To this was added 15 ml of hexane and the solution was cooled to -40° C. After 12 h at -40° C, crude **4a** was obtained in 71% as yellow powder. Attempts to obtain crystals were not successful. M.p.: > 135°C (decomp). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, Me₄Si): δ 0.87 (t, J = 8 Hz, 3H), 1.01 (t, J = 8 Hz, 3H), 1.02 (t, J = 7 Hz, 2H, α -CH₂), 1.09 (q, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 2.28 (q, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 2.47 (t, J = 7 Hz, 2H, β -CH₂), 5.84 (s, 10H); ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, Me₄Si): δ 13.30, 15.91, 26.37 (C4), 28.89, 29.01, 43.10 (C5), 110.18 (Cp), 141.77 (C3), 189.02 (C2).

3.5.2. $Cp_2H\overline{f(CH_2CH_2CPr=CPr)}$ (4b)

Yield 80% (by NMR). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , Me_4Si): δ 0.96 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 0.97 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 1.02 (t, J = 7 Hz, 2H, α -CH₂), 1.11–1.19 (m, 2H), 1.41–1.47 (m, 2H), 1.91 (t, J = 7 Hz, 2H), 2.47 (t, J = 7 Hz, 2H, β -CH₂), 5.85 (s, 10H); ¹³C NMR (C_6D_6 , Me_4Si): δ 14.60, 15.44, 21.89, 24.75, 26.79 (C4), 38.16, 39.87, 43.32 (C5), 110.16 (Cp), 140.53 (C3), 188.89 (C2). After hydrolysis, (Z)-4-ethyl-4-octene was obtained in 82% yield [13].

3.5.3. $Cp_2H\overline{f(CH_2CH_2CBu=CBu)}$ (4c)

Yield 92% (by NMR). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , Me_4Si): δ 0.97 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 0.99 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 1.03 (t, J = 7 Hz, 2H, α -CH₂), 1.13–1.22 (m, 2H), 1.30–1.46 (m, 6H), 1.93 (t, J = 7 Hz, 2H), 2.34 (t, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 2.49 (t, J = 7 Hz, 2H, β -CH₂), 5.87 (s, 10H); ¹³C NMR (C_6D_6 , Me_4Si): δ 14.44, 14.50, 23.44, 24.04, 26.89 (C4), 31.10, 33.86, 35.89, 36.88, 43.35 (C5), 110.17 (Cp), 140.79 (C3), 188.51 (C2). After hydrolysis, (Z)-5-ethyl-5-decene was obtained in 95% yield [15].

3.5.4. $Cp_2H\overline{f(CH_2CH_2CPh=CPh)}$ (4d)

Yield 77% (by NMR). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , Me_4Si): δ 1.22 (t, J = 7 Hz, 2H, α -CH₂), 3.03 (t, J = 7 Hz, 2H, β -CH₂), 5.86 (s, 10H), 6.64–7.16 (m, 10H); ¹³C NMR (C_6D_6 , Me_4Si): δ 31.66 (C4), 44.81 (C5), 111.44 (Cp), 122.96, 125.57, 127.16, 127.60, 127.97, 128.94, 144.45, 146.10, 150.6 2 (C3), 191.82 (C2). After hydrolysis, (Z)-1,2-diphenyl-1-butene was obtained in 77% yield [15].

3.5.5. $Cp_2Hf(CH_2CH_2CPh=CMe)$ (4e)

Yield 68% (by NMR). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , Me_4Si): δ 1.11 (t, J = 7 Hz, 2H, α -CH₂), 1.49 (s, 3H), 2.60 (t, J = 7 Hz, 2H, β -CH₂), 5.82 (s, 10H), 6.81–7.29 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (C_6D_6 , Me_4Si): δ 23.27, 30.88 (C4), 43.35 (C5), 110.91 (Cp), 123.13, 126.44, 128.22, 139.19, 151.59 (C3), 189.23 (C2). After hydrolysis, (*E*)-2-methyl-1-phenyl-1-butene was obtained in 73% yield [16].

3.5.6. $Cp_2H\overline{f(CH_2CH_2CPh=CBu)}$ (4f)

Yield 71% (by NMR). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , Me_4Si): 80.81 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 1.11 (t, J = 7 Hz, 2H, α -CH₂), 1.10–1.22 (m, 2H), 1.33–1.39 (m, 2H), 1.84 (t, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 2.65 (t, J = 7 Hz, 2H, β -CH₂), 5.83 (s, 10H), 6.81–7.26 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (C_6D_6 , Me_4Si): δ 14.31, 23.06, 28.41 (C4), 31.05, 36.64, 43.95 (C5), 110.93 (Cp), 122.97, 126.31, 128.11, 143.66, 151.29 (C3), 189.68 (C2). After hydrolysis, 1-phenyl-2-ethyl-1-hexene was obtained in 70% yield [17].

3.5.7. $Cp_2Hf(CH_2CH_2C(SiMe_3)=CBu)$ (4g)

Yield 68% (by NMR). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , Me₄Si): δ 0.18 (s, 9H), 1.02 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 1.11 (t, J = 7 Hz, 2H, α -CH₂), 1.27–1.45 (m, 4H), 1.92 (t, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 2.46 (t, J = 7 Hz, 2H, β -CH₂), 5.86 (s, 10H); ³C NMR (C_6D_6 , Me₄Si): δ 3.38, 14.49, 23.63, 29.26 (C4), 31.62, 41.52 (C5), 45.28, 110.44 (Cp), 148.74 (C3), 190.54 (C2). After hydrolysis, (*E*)-2-butyl-1-(trimethylsilyl)-1-butene was obtained in 65% yield [15].

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Grant-in-aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan and the Sasakawa Scientific Research Grant from The Japan Science Society and JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists. The authors thank Tosoh Akzo for supplying us with alkylaluminum compounds.

References

- [1] D.J. Cardin, M.F. Lappert, C.L. Raston, The Chemistry of Organozirconium and Hafnium Compounds, Wiley, New York, 1986.
- [2] F.G.N. Cloke, P. Binger, S. Podubrin, E.J. Ryan, E. Hey-Hawkins, S. Gambarotta, J. Jubb, J. Song, D. Richeson, A.S. Guram, R.F. Jordan, in: G. Wilkinson, F.G.A. Stone, E.W. Abel (Eds.), Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II, Chaps. 6-12, Vol. 4, Pergamon, Oxford, 1995.
- [3] E. Negishi, T. Takahashi, Acc. Chem. Res. 27 (1994) 124, and references therein.
- [4] T. Takahashi, Y. Nishihara, T. Ishida, Chem. Lett. (1995) 159.
- [5] G.A. Tolstikov, M.S. Miftakhov, F.A. Valeev, Izvest. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser Khim. (1979) 2576.
- [6] G. Erker, R. Schlund, C. Irruger, Organometallics 8 (1989) 2349.
- [7] S.L. Buchwald, K.A. Kreutzer, R.A. Fisher, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112 (1990) 4600.
- [8] D.R. Swanson, E. Negishi, Organometallics 10 (1991) 825.
- [9] H. Sinn, E. Kolk, J. Organomet. Chem. 6 (1966) 373.
- [10] P.C. Wailes, H. Weigold, A.P. Bell, J. Organomet. Chem. 33 (1971) 181.
- [11] E. Negishi, T. Nguyen, J.P. Maye, D. Choueiri, N. Suzuki, T. Takahashi, Chem. Lett. (1992) 2367.
- [12] G. Erker, U. Dolf, L.A. Rheingold, Organometallics 7 (1988) 138.
- [13] T. Takahashi, M. Kageyama, V. Denisov, R. Hara, E. Negishi, Tetrahedron Lett. 34 (1993) 687.
- [14] E. Negishi, S.J. Holmes, J.M. Tour, J.A. Miller, F.E. Cederbaum, D.R. Swanson, T. Takahashi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 111 (1989) 3336.
- [15] Z. Xi, R. Hara, T. Takahashi, J. Organomet. Chem. 60 (1995) 4444.
- [16] T.N. Mitchell, A. Amamria, J. Organomet. Chem. 252 (1983) 47.
- [17] A. Commercon, J.F. Normant, J. Villieras, J. Organomet. Chem. 128 (1977) 1.